

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SW

adv. mai kinner v	ORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNI	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	FILING DATE	APPLICATION NO.
LELECTOR OF THE SECOND MARKET COMMERCE AND MARKET AND M					
THE RESERVE MARKET CAMMITTERS OF MENT ARTURITY AND ARTURITY OF THE PROPERTY OF	EXAMINER				
COOK ALEX MCKARAGE MALES CLOMMENES & MEGI ARTUNIT	•		a Pour Six in prints	17.1-1-1	ren er er ki
	PAPER NUMBER		T. CLAMBINES & MERLIN		
	ζ.	1 44		Q#	. A. W. HILAM
Turk I E Austin E material. DATE MAILED:	a 1 (N 1			milita ir.	iumili. Aatata disa

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

		Applic	ation No.	Applic ant (<u>(s)</u>			
t	09/583	3 334	KEITHLY E	T AL				
Office Action Summary		Exami	<u> </u>	Art Unit				
3,500	,		F. Pratt	1761				
The MAIL	ING DATE of this commu				nce address			
Period for Reply	·							
THE MAILING D. Extensions of time mater SIX (6) MONTH: If the period for reply If NO period for reply Failure to reply within Any reply received by earned patent term ac	STATUTORY PERIOD IS ATE OF THIS COMMUN as be available under the provision. S from the mailing date of this com specified above is less than thirty (is specified above, the maximum s the set or extended period for reply the Office later than three months tijustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IIC ATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nomunication. 30) days, a reply within the tatutory period will apply are y will, by statute, cause the	o event, however, may statutory minimum of t ad will expire S IX (6) M application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be conside ONTHS from the mailing date ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.	of this communication.			
Status	a ta a ammunia tian(a) f	and on 20 June 201	0.1					
<u></u>	ve to communication(s) f n is FINAL.	11ed 011 <u>28 June 201</u> 2b)⊠ This action						
···, —		·—		inttore procedution	se to the marite is			
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Clain	ns							
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the a	bove claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn from	consideration.					
5) ☐ Claim(s) _	is /a re a llowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> -	30 is/are rejected.							
7) ☐ Claim(s) _	is /a re objected to.							
8) Claim(s)_	are subject to restri	ction and/or electio	n requirement.					
Application Papers								
9) The specific	ation is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10) The dra wing	g(s) filed on is/a re	: a) accepted or b)□ objected to b	y the Examiner.				
= =	nay not request that any ob	-						
11) The propose	ed drawing correction file	d on is: a)	approved b)	disapproved by the	Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or	declaration is objected to	o by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. 119 and 120							
	gment is made of a claim	n for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C	C. 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
$a) \square All b) \square$	Some $*c)\square$ None of:							
1.☐ Ce ni	ified copies of the priority	documents have b	been received.					
2.☐ Centi	ified copies of the priority	documents have b	been received in	Application No.	·			
a	es of the certified copies application from the Inter- ched detailed Office action	national Bureau (P	CT Rule 17.2(a)).	ational Stage			
14) 🗌 Acknowledgi	ment is made of a claim	for domestic priorit	y under 35 U.S.	C. 119(e) (to a prov	visional application).			
	nslation of the foreign la ment is made of a claim				1.			
Attachment(s)		para para	,	 .				
	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) I			ew Summary (PTO-413) P of Informal Patent Applica				

Application/Control Number: 09/583,334

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Ex pane Wu, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then is followed by the use of narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of Ex pane Steigewald, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); Ex pane Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and Ex pane Hasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 1, 21, and recites the broad recitation and before the peak harvesting season for late season round orange fruit and the claim also recites including Hughes Valencia and Rhode Red Valencia orange fruit which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

MISCELLANEOUS

The title should be amended to contain a reference to the method.

The terminal disclaimer has been received and approved.

Application/Control Number: 09/583,334 Page 3

Art Unit: 1761

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bonaventura et al. in view of Citrus Industry, June 99 and Pao et al..

The claims are rejected for the reasons of record cited in the last office action. The limitations added to the claims have been discussed in the last office action. Claim 21 and 26 further requires a particular color number. However, this is a well known color number cited as preferable by Citrus Growers, and that it would be helpful to have cultivars that mature ahead of the Hamlin orange with a 36 color score in Nov.

Bonaventura et al. disclose that it is known to use mid season fruit and to blend it with other juices from the two other growing seasons (page 284, 3rd col. 1st complete para.). Therefore, it would have been obvious to blend juices from the various seasons and to use a particular color score to make the required product.

ARGUMENTS

Applicant's arguments filed 6-28-01 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that their invention uses mid-season round orange cultivars, which are Vernia, and Frost cultivars. However, the independent claims are broader than this as in the 112 rejection. Applicants are not the first to recognize that Vernia and Frost cultivars are mid-season round oranges. No claim has been made

Application/Control Number: 09/583,334

Art Unit: 1761

that they are the inventors of these cultivars. The reference to Bonaventura et al. disclose that it is known to use mid-season oranges and to mix the juice with other juices (abstract). Certainly, gathering information as to sensory data is within the skill of the ordinary worker (Citrus Industry), which contains such information. The Bonaventura et al. reference is used in combination with Citrus Industry, which states that it would have been helpful to have a cultivar, which matures ahead of the Hamlin orange, and that a 36 color number is desirable.

Even though the primary reference is to blood oranges, the concept is known of using the mid-season oranges. The brix, acidity, pH, total sugars, etc. are analyzed on the starting samples.

It is not seen that in Bonaventura, that the test blends of blood orange cultivars were not made to enhance the sensory characteristics of the final blend. Brix, colors, acidity, are all well known factors, and all are commonly used to determine final blends.

Applicants argue that none of the articles recognize the Vernia cultivar as being anything other than a Valencia variety or recognize the Frost cultivar. However, certainly, anyone that grows such a cultivar knows when it ripens, as this is an inherent characteristic of the orange. Certainly, they intend that the orange be used for its known function of making juice. There would be no point in growing such an orange if there wasn t a market for it. Bonaventura discloses that it is known to use such midseason oranges in making juice blends.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Helen F. Pratt at telephone number 703-308-1978. hp 7-30-01

HELEN PHAIT PRIMARY EXAMINER